1	DEBORAH B. CAPLAN [SBN 196606] LANCE H. OLSON [SBN 077634] ERIN V. PETH [SBN 227850] OLSON HAGEL & FISHBURN LLP				
2					
3					
4	555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1425 Sacramento, CA 95814				
	Telephone: (916) 442-2952				
5	Facsimile: (916) 442-1280				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Democratic Party				
7	CHARLES H. BELL, JR. [SBN 060553]				
8	455 Capitol Mall, Suite 801 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 442-7757				
9					
10					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Republican Party	and			
12	Orange County Republican Party				
13					
14	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16		1			
17	CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY; CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PARTY;	CASE NO.: CIV.S-04-2144 FCD PAN STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT OF			
18	ORANGE COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY;				
19	Plaintiffs, vs.	DISMISSAL			
20	FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION;				
21	LIANE RANDOLPH, in her official capacity; SHERIDAN DOWNEY II, in his official capacity;				
22	THOMAS KNOX, in his official capacity, PHILLIP BLAIR, in his official capacity;				
23	PAMELA KARLAN, in her official capacity,				
24	Defendants.				
25					
26	Plaintiffs California Republican Party, Calif	ornia Democratic Party, and Orange County			
27	Republican Party (collectively, "plaintiffs") and defendants California Fair Political Practices				
28	Commission, Liane Randolph, Sheridan Downey III, Thomas Knox, Philip Blair, and Pamela				

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Karlan (collectively, "defendants") hereby enter into this Stipulation for Judgment of Dismissal as follows:

Whereas, on October 27, 2004, the Hon. Frank C. Damrell, Jr. entered a preliminary injunction in this matter finding that there were serious questions as to the constitutionality of Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 in light of the Ninth Circuit's decision in American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada v. Heller, 378 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2004), addressing onpublication disclosure requirements imposed upon political advertising;

Whereas, on March 21, 2005, the California Fair Political Practices Commission adopted as Commission policy that Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 are unlawful as applied against general purpose committees, based on the American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada v. *Heller* decision, as stated in the attached Resolution;

Whereas, on March 21, 2005, the California Fair Political Practices Commission further resolved not to enforce Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 against general purpose committees as stated in the attached Resolution;

Whereas, on September 1, 2005, the California Fair Political Practices Commission adopted amendments to California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 18450.4, which reflect the foregoing determination that, based upon the *Heller* case, Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 would be unconstitutional if applied to general purpose committees, and which makes those sections inapplicable to such committees; and

Whereas plaintiffs are satisfied that the Commission's actions are the functional equivalent of declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to the constitutionality of Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 as applied to general purpose committees.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the respective attorneys of record for plaintiffs and defendants that, subject to the Court's approval, judgment shall immediately be entered in this action as follows:

1. Plaintiffs contend and defendants concede that, based upon the *Heller* case, Government Code sections 84503 and 84506 cannot constitutionally be applied to general purpose committees. Defendants have adopted a regulation that makes it clear that those sections do not apply to such

Case 2:04-cv-02144-FCD-PAN Document 48 Filed 01/03/06 Page 3 of 3

1	committees, and cannot be asserted in any action regarding such committees brought by the Fair		
2	Political Practices Commission or by a private party.		
3	2.	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice as moot.	
4	3.	3. Plaintiffs are the prevailing parties and are entitled to reasonable attorney fees and costs	
5	 in the amoun	in the amount of \$ 60,000.00.	
6			
		2005	OLCON HACEL & EIGHDIDN LLD
7		mber2005	OLSON, HAGEL, & FISHBURN, LLP
8			By:
9	2		By: DEBORAH B. CAPLAN
10			Attorneys for Plaintiff California Democratic Party
11		mhor 2005	DELL MANDDEWS & HILTACHE LLD
12		mber2005	BELL, McANDREWS & HILTACHK, LLP
13	3		By:
14	.		CHARLES H. BELL, JR.
15			Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Republican Party and
16			Orange County Republican Party
)	Dated: Dece	ember 2005	BILL LOCKYER
3 17			Attorney General of the State of California
18	3		By:
19			DOUGLAS J. WOODS
20			Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants Fair Political Practices
21			Commission, Liane Randolph, Sheridan Downey III, Thomas Knox, Philip Blair, Pamela Karlan
22	2		
23		<u>ORDER</u>	
24	The C	The Clerk of Court is directed to enter final judgment according to the terms of the above	
25	Stipulation.	Stipulation.	
26	IT IS	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: Dece	mber 30, 2005	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. HON. FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
28	5		HOW. I KINK C. DIMMELL, JK.
			2